

# Independence of (co-)Hopfian $p$ -groups

Gábor Braun and Lutz Strümgmann

ABSTRACT.

## 1. Introduction

In [Ketao] Hopfian and co-Hopfian groups were studied and the following questions were asked:

### Question 1.1.

- (1) Are the classes of Hopfian and co-Hopfian  $p$ -groups the same?
- (2) Is there a Hopfian or co-Hopfian  $p$ -group of size  $\aleph_1$ ?

Our first theorem answers Question (1):

**Theorem 1.2.** *Given a prime  $p$  there are reduced  $p$ -groups  $G$  and  $H$  such that*

- (1)  $G$  is Hopfian but not co-Hopfian; In particular,  $\text{End}(G) = \widehat{J_p[x]} \oplus \text{small}(G)$ .
- (2)  $H$  is co-Hopfian but not Hopfian; In particular,  $\text{End}(H) = J_p[[x]] \oplus \text{small}(H)$ .

The next theorem takes care of Question (2):

**Theorem 1.3.** *The following statements are consistent with ZFC:*

- (1) *There is a Hopfian and co-Hopfian  $p$ -group of size  $\aleph_1 < 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*
- (2) *Every reduced infinitely generated  $p$ -group of size strictly less than the continuum is neither Hopfian nor co-Hopfian.*

**Corollary 1.4.** *The answer to Question (2) is undecidable in ZFC.*

## 2. A Hopfian but not co-Hopfian group

In this section, we consider the forcing extension by  $\text{Fin}(\omega_1, 2)$ , ie, the partial poset of partial maps  $\omega_1 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with finite domain. We show that in this extension, there are groups of size  $\aleph_1$ , which are both Hopfian and co-Hopfian, or Hopfian but not co-Hopfian, or co-Hopfian but not Hopfian.

Actually, it will be more convenient to consider the equivalent forcing poset  $\text{Fin}(\omega_1 \times \mathbb{Z}^+, 2)$ . This adds new functions  $\eta_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  to the universe for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

---

*Key words and phrases.* Martin's axiom; Hopfian groups; co-Hopfian groups.

Research partially supported by Hungarian Scientific Research Fund, Grant No. NK 81203 and project STR 627/1-6 of the German Research Foundation DFG.

Let us construct some subgroups of  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} e_n$ . Let  $x$  be the monomorphism defined by  $e_n x := p e_{n+1}$ .

$$(2.1) \quad a_{\alpha, n} := \sum_k^{\infty} \eta_{\alpha}(k) p^{k-n} e_k$$

$$(2.2) \quad a_{\alpha, n} = \eta_{\alpha}(n) e_n + p a_{\alpha, n+1}$$

$$(2.3) \quad G_{H, c} := \langle e_n, a_{\alpha, n} : n = 0, \dots, \infty \rangle$$

$$(2.4) \quad G_H := \langle e_n, a_{\alpha, n} x^k : k, n = 0, \dots, \infty \rangle$$

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$(2.5) \quad \text{End } G_{Hc} = J_p \oplus \text{small}(G_{Hc}),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \text{End } G_H = \widehat{J_p[x]} \oplus \text{small}(G_H).$$

PROOF. Actually, we shall show the more general statement

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Hom}(G_{Hc}, G_H) = \widehat{J_p[x]} \oplus \text{small}(G_{Hc}, G_H).$$

In other words, for every homomorphism  $f$  and every positive integer  $N$ , there is a polynomial  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  making  $f - k'$  small on  $G_{Hc}[p^N]$ . We are now going to prove this.

For simplicity, we abuse notation, and let  $f$  also denote the name of the homomorphism  $G_{Hc} \rightarrow G_H$ . There are conditions  $p_{i,j}$  in the generic filter forcing the  $e_j$ -coordinate of  $e_i f$  to be some  $k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^j}$ . The  $p_{i,j}$  altogether have countable support, which is therefore disjoint from  $\{\alpha\} \times \omega$  for some  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ . There is a  $p$  in the generic filter forcing that  $f$  is a homomorphism  $G_{Hc} \rightarrow G_H$ , and

$$(2.8) \quad a_{\alpha, N} f = g + k a_{\alpha, M} + \sum_{i=1}^l k_i a_{\alpha_i, M_i}$$

for some  $g \in G_H$ , polynomials  $k, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and pairwise distinct ordinals  $\alpha, \alpha_i$ .

For all positive integer  $n \geq N$  where  $(\alpha, n)$  is not in the support of  $p$ , let's consider the automorphism  $\varphi$  of the forcing notion switching the value of  $\eta_{\alpha}$  at  $n$ : i.e.,  $\eta_{\alpha}^{\varphi}$  coincides with  $\eta_{\alpha}$  except at the place  $n$  where  $\eta_{\alpha}^{\varphi}(n) = 1 - \eta_{\alpha}(n)$ . For  $\beta \neq \alpha$ , the function  $\eta_{\beta}$  remains unchanged:  $\eta_{\beta}^{\varphi} = \eta_{\beta}(n)$ .

On the level of partial functions, for every partial function  $q$ , we have  $\text{dom } q^{\varphi} = \text{dom } q$  and  $(\beta, m) q^{\varphi} = (\beta, m) q$  for  $(\beta, m) \in \text{dom } q$  and  $(\beta, m) \neq (\alpha, n)$ . The crucial change is  $(\alpha, n) q^{\varphi} = 1 - (\alpha, n) q$  whenever  $(\alpha, n) \in \text{dom } q$ . In particular,  $\varphi$  leaves  $p$  and the  $p_{i,j}$  invariant.

Now, since  $p$  forces it,  $f^{\varphi}$  is again a homomorphism  $G_{Hc} \rightarrow G_H$  satisfying

$$(2.9) \quad (a_{\alpha, N} \pm p^{n-N} e_n) f^{\varphi} = g + k(a_{\alpha, M} \pm p^{n-M} e_n) + \sum_{i=1}^l k_i a_{\alpha_i, M_i}.$$

Also, the  $e_j$ -coordinate of  $e_i f^{\varphi}$  is  $k_{i,j}$ , because  $p_{i,j}$  forces it, so  $f^{\varphi} = f$ . Hence the difference of the two equations (2.8) and (2.9) simplifies to

$$(2.10) \quad p^{n-N} e_n f = p^{n-M} k e_n$$

(we repeat) for all but finitely many  $n \geq N$  (those for which  $(\alpha, n)$  is not in the support of  $p$ ).

It follows that  $0 = p^n e_n f = p^{n+N-M} k e_n$  for almost all  $n$ . Therefore  $p^{n+N-M} k$  must be divisible by  $p^n$ , which means that the polynomial  $k' := p^{N-M} k$  has integral coefficients, thus (2.10) beautifies to

$$(2.11) \quad p^{n-N} e_n f = p^{n-N} k' e_n$$

for all but finitely many  $n$ .

Finally, we can conclude that  $f - k'$  is zero on all but finitely many of the  $p^{n-N} e_n$ , hence  $f - k'$  is small on  $G_{Hc}[p^N]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-finite reduced abelian  $p$ -group with a strictly height-increasing monomorphism  $x$ , ie,  $h(gx) > h(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Assume  $\text{End } G = \widehat{J_p[x]} \oplus \text{small}(G_H)$ . Then  $G$  is Hopfian but not co-Hopfian.*

PROOF. Obviously,  $G$  is not co-Hopfian, as  $x$  is a monomorphism which is not an isomorphism. To prove  $G$  is Hopfian, let  $f: G \rightarrow G$  be an epimorphism. We will show that it is an isomorphism adapting [?, 16.1–4].

First, if  $f$  is small then its image is countable. Hence  $G$  is countable, so the canonical image of  $\text{End } G$  in  $\text{Hom}(G[p], G)$  is continuum, but the image of both  $\widehat{J_p[x]}$  and  $\text{small}(G_H)$  is only countable, eg, the first one is  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ . So  $f$  is not small, hence is of the form  $f = \alpha + \phi$  where  $0 \neq \alpha \in \widehat{J_p[x]}$  and  $\phi \in \text{small}(G_H)$ .

Second, we want to show that  $\alpha$  has an invertible constant term in several steps.

As the first step, we decompose  $\alpha$  as

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha = p^k x^j \beta + p^{k+1} \gamma \quad \beta, \gamma \in \widehat{J_p[x]}, \text{ deg } \gamma < j,$$

where  $\beta$  has invertible constant term. In particular,  $\beta$  is height-preserving, ie,  $h(g\beta) = h(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ , hence it is a monomorphism.

The second step is determining the kernel of  $\alpha$ :

$$(2.13) \quad \ker \alpha[p^{k+1}] = \ker p^k x^j \beta[p^{k+1}] = \ker p^k[p^{k+1}] = G[p^k]$$

using that  $x$  and  $\beta$  are monomorphisms, and  $p^{k+1}\gamma$  is 0 on  $G[p^{k+1}]$ . We conclude that

$$(2.14) \quad \ker \alpha = G[p^k].$$

Since  $\phi$  is small, there is a natural number  $N$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad p^N G[p^{k+1}] \subseteq \ker \phi.$$

Let  $n > N$  be arbitrary. As

$$(2.16) \quad p^n (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+1}] \subseteq \ker f \cap \ker \phi \subseteq \ker \alpha = G[p^k],$$

we have  $p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+2}] \subseteq G[p^{k+1}]$ , (actually  $G[p^n] f^{-1} \subseteq G[p^{k+1}]$ , cf [?, 16.1(d)]) hence

$$(2.17) \quad p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1}) = p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+1}].$$

Consequently, cf [?, 16.1(b)]

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} p^{n-1} G[p] &= p^{n-1} (G[p^n]) = p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1}) f = p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+1}] f \\ &= p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+1}] \alpha = p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+1}] p^k x^j \beta \subseteq p^{n-1+k+j} G[p], \end{aligned}$$

using that  $\phi$  and  $p^{k+1}\gamma$  is 0 on  $p^{n-1} (G[p^n] f^{-1})[p^{k+1}]$ , and that  $x$  is strictly height-increasing.

So we have  $p^{n-1} G[p] \subseteq p^{n-1+k+j} G[p]$  for all  $n > N$ . As  $G$  is unbounded, this is only possible if  $k = j = 0$ , ie,  $\alpha = \beta$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is also height-preserving.

Finally, let  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  be a basic subgroup of  $G$  with  $B_n$  a direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p^n$ . The canonical direct complement of  $B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_N$  is  $H_N := \langle p^N G, B_{N+1}, B_{N+2}, \dots \rangle$ . Now on  $H_N[p] = p^N G[p]$ , the small map  $\phi$  is 0, so  $f$  coincides with  $\alpha$  here. Hence  $f$  is height-preserving on  $H_N[p] = p^N G[p]$ . It follows that  $f$  is injective on  $H_N$  and  $B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_N \oplus H_N f$  is a pure subgroup with basic subgroup isomorphic to  $B$ . Since  $G$  is semi-finite, the canonical image of  $B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_N$

must be a (bounded) basic subgroup of  $G/H_N f$ , which therefore has a divisible direct complement  $D$ . Let us consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_N & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \cong \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_N f & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_N \oplus D & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is epimorphic, the right-most vertical arrow is epimorphic. As the  $B_n$  are finite, this arrow must be an isomorphism, and  $D = 0$ . By the Five Lemma, therefore  $f$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

### 3. A co-Hopfian but not Hopfian group

This time we use the equivalent forcing poset  $\text{Fin}(\omega_1 \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+, 2)$ , so the newly added functions are  $\eta_\alpha: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

$$(3.1) \quad a_{\alpha, n}^{(i)} := \sum_{k=n+i}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \eta_\alpha(k-i, m) p^{k-n} e_{k, m} \quad i \geq 0$$

$$(3.2) \quad G_c := \left\langle e_{n, m}, a_{\alpha, n}^{(i)} x^k : n \geq m \geq 1, k, i \geq 0 \right\rangle \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} e_{n, m}$$

$$(3.3) \quad e_{n, n} x := 0$$

$$(3.4) \quad e_{n+1, m} x := e_{n, m} \quad m \leq n$$

$$(3.5) \quad a_{\alpha, n+1}^{(i+1)} x = a_{\alpha, n}^{(i)}$$

$$(3.6) \quad a_{\alpha, n}^{(i)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n+i} \eta_\alpha(n, m) p^i e_{n+i, m} + p a_{\alpha, n+1}^{(i)}$$

**Lemma 3.1.**

$$(3.7) \quad \text{End } G_c = J_p[[x]] \oplus \text{small}(G_c)$$

**PROOF.** The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.1, so we point out only the differences. Now the reformulation of the statement reads: for every homomorphism  $f$  and every positive integer  $N$ , there is a polynomial  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  making  $f - k'$  small on  $G_c[p^N]$ . This time the forcing argument provides an  $\alpha$ , such that

$$(3.8) \quad a_{\alpha, N} f = g + k a_{\alpha, M}^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^l k_j a_{\alpha_j, M_j}^{(i_j)}$$

for some  $g \in G_c$ , polynomials  $k, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , non-negative integer  $i$  and pairwise distinct ordinals  $\alpha, \alpha_j$ . We may assume that the constant term of  $k$  is not divisible by  $p^M$  when  $i > 0$ . Moreover, (still by the forcing argument via switching  $\eta_\alpha(n, m)$ ) for all but finitely many pairs  $n, m$  of positive integers with  $n \geq N$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad (a_{\alpha, N}^{(0)} \pm p^{n-N} e_{n, m}) f = g + k(a_{\alpha, M}^{(i)} \pm p^{n+i-M} e_{n+i, m}) + \sum_{j=1}^l k_j a_{\alpha_j, M_j}^{(i_j)}$$

with the convention that  $e_{p, q} = 0$  for  $p < q$ .

The difference of the two equations (3.8) and (3.9) reduces to

$$(3.10) \quad p^{n-N} e_{n, m} f = k p^{n+i-M} e_{n+i, m}$$

(we repeat) for all but finitely many pairs  $n, m$  with  $n \geq N$ . If  $i > 0$  then choosing  $m = n + i$  and  $n$  large enough, we obtain  $0 = p^{n-N} e_{n, n+i} f = k p^{n+i-M} e_{n+i, n+i}$  contradicting that the constant term of  $k$  is not divisible by  $p^M$ .

Hence  $i = 0$ , and we finish as in Lemma 2.1, deriving that  $f - k'$  is small on  $G_c[p^N]$  where  $k' := p^{N-M} k$  is an integral polynomial.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-finite reduced abelian  $p$ -group with an endomorphism  $x$ , which is 0 on  $G[p]$ . Assume  $\text{End } G = J_p[[x]] \oplus \text{small}(G_H)$ . Then  $G$  is co-Hopfian (but not Hopfian if  $x$  is epimorphic).*

PROOF. To prove  $G$  is co-Hopfian, let  $f: G \rightarrow G$  be an epimorphism. We will show that it is an isomorphism.

First,  $f$  is of the form  $f = \alpha + \phi$  where  $\alpha \in J_p[[x]]$  and  $\phi \in \text{small}(G)$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number. Since  $\phi$  is small, there is a natural number  $m$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad p^m G[p^n] \subseteq \ker \phi,$$

so  $f$  coincides with  $\alpha$  on  $p^m G[p^n]$

Let us consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p^m G[p^n] & \longrightarrow & G[p^n] & \longrightarrow & \frac{G[p^n]}{p^m G[p^n]} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p^m G[p^n] & \longrightarrow & G[p^n] & \longrightarrow & \frac{G[p^n]}{p^m G[p^n]} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is monomorphic, the left-most vertical arrow  $\alpha$  is monomorphic. Hence  $\alpha$  must have a constant term not divisible by  $p$ , so  $\alpha$  is invertible and therefore an isomorphism. It follows that the right-most vertical arrow is a monomorphism. As  $G[p^n]/p^m G[p^n]$  is finite, this arrow must be an isomorphism. By the Five Lemma, therefore  $f$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

#### 4. Martin's axiom and Hopfian groups

In this section we show that  $\text{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered})$  implies that every reduced  $p$ -group  $G$  of size less than  $2^{\aleph_0}$  is neither Hopfian nor co-Hopfian.

We may assume that  $G$  is semi-finite, as otherwise it is neither Hopfian nor co-Hopfian. Let  $B$  be a basic subgroup of  $G$ .

We shall build endomorphisms of  $G$  by gluing together partial endomorphisms defined on finite subgroups. The partial endomorphisms will be selected via Martin's Axiom. To ensure the countable chain condition, we voluntarily restrict to endomorphisms  $f$  satisfying  $G(f - 1) \subseteq B$  so that every element of  $G$  can be mapped to only countably many others. As a by-product, this also simplifies the presentation by allowing us to restrict to separable  $G$ .

The first Ulm factor  $G/G^{(1)}$  is separable with a basic group isomorphic to  $B$  via the natural map  $B \rightarrow G/G^{(1)}$ . By abuse of notation, let  $B$  also denote this basic subgroup.

Now every endomorphism  $f$  of  $G/G^{(1)}$  with image of  $f - 1$  in  $B$  extends to a unique endomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  of  $G$  which is the identity on  $G^{(1)}$  and satisfies  $G(\tilde{f} - 1) \subseteq B$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}$  is a monomorphism or an epimorphism if and only if  $f$  is so, respectively. As  $f$  induces the identity on  $G/B$ , we derive analogously that  $f$  is monic or epic, respectively, if so is the restriction  $f: B \rightarrow B$ . In particular,  $f$  is epic if its image contains  $B$ .

Let  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  be a decomposition of the basic subgroup with  $B_n$  being a direct sum of cyclic subgroups  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  of order  $p^n$ . Let  $H_N := \langle p^N G, B_{N+1}, B_{N+2}, \dots \rangle$  be the canonical direct complement of  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^N B_n$  in  $B$ .

**4.1. Group is not Hopfian.** To show that  $G$  is not Hopfian, we apply Martin's Axiom to the following poset:

**Elements:** partial homomorphisms  $g: H \rightarrow G$ , where  $H$  is a finite-rank pure subgroup of  $G$  with  $H(g-1) \subseteq B$ .

**Order:**  $h \leq g$  if and only if  $h$  is an extension of  $g$ .

**Dense subsets:**

- $\mathbb{D}_x := \{g \mid x \in \text{dom } g\}$  for  $x \in G$ ,
- $\mathbb{D}_b := \{g \mid x \in \text{im } g\}$  for  $b \in B$ ,
- $\mathbb{D}_{\text{non-monic}} := \{g \mid g \text{ not monic}\}$ .

**Filters:**  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi := \{g \mid g \subseteq \varphi\}$  for  $\varphi$  an endomorphism of  $G$ , which is identity on some  $H_n$  and  $G(\varphi-1) \subseteq B$ .

This is obviously a poset satisfying the conditions of MA( $\sigma$ -centered). Eg, there are only countably many filters  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ , as there are only countably many choices of  $\varphi$  thanks to the restrictions  $G(\varphi-1) \subseteq B$  and  $\varphi \upharpoonright H_n = 1$ . These filters cover the poset, as for any partial homomorphism  $g: H \rightarrow G$ , we can find a direct complement  $C$  in  $G$  containing some  $H_n$ . Defining  $\varphi$  to be  $g$  on  $H$  and the identity on  $H_n$ , we obtain  $g \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$ . A similar but easier argument proves that the set  $\mathbb{D}_b$  is dense for  $b \in B$ . Now for  $g: H \rightarrow G$ , we choose a pure extension  $K = H \oplus L$  with  $L$  a cyclic direct summand of  $B$  with sufficiently large order, thus  $g$  extends to an  $h: H \rightarrow G$  with  $b \in Lh \subseteq B$ . It is even easier to show that the remaining sets claimed to be dense are really dense.

Applying MA( $\sigma$ -centered), there is a filter intersecting the dense subsets above. Taking the union of the homomorphisms in the filter, we obtain a non-monic endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $G(f-1) \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq Gf$ . It follows that  $f$  is epic but not monic, indicating that  $G$  is not Hopfian.

**4.2. Group is not co-Hopfian.** The construction is similar to the previous case with a suitable adjustment of the poset.

Let  $0 \neq b_0 \in B$  be a fixed non-zero element of  $B$ . Our aim is to construct a monic endomorphism of  $G$  whose image does not contain  $b_0$ .

**Elements:** partial monomorphisms  $g: H \rightarrow G$ , where  $H$  and the image  $Hg$  are finite-rank pure subgroups of  $G$  with  $b_0 \notin Hg$  and  $H(g-1) \subseteq B$ .

**Order:**  $h \leq g$  if and only if  $h$  is an extension of  $g$ .

**Dense subsets:**  $\mathbb{D}_x := \{g \mid x \in \text{dom } g\}$  for  $x \in G$ .

**Filters:**  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi := \{g \mid g \subseteq \varphi\}$  for  $\varphi$  a monomorphism to  $G$  defined on a subgroup of  $G$  and extending the identity on some  $H_n$ . Moreover, the image of  $\varphi-1$  lies in  $B$  and  $b_0$  is outside the image of  $\varphi$ .

(For the filters, we allow  $\varphi$  to be defined only on a subgroup, because a priori we can't come up with a monic endomorphism of  $G$  which is not epic. Actually, the  $\varphi$  we use will all be restriction of an isomorphism of  $G$ .)

Since  $H_n$  is of finite index, there are only finitely many subgroups containing it, and hence there are altogether only countably many filters  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ .

To show that every partial monomorphism  $g: H \rightarrow G$  is contained in a  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ , we proceed in several steps.

We choose decompositions  $G = H \oplus C_1 \oplus H_n$  and  $G = Hg \oplus C_2 \oplus H_n$  for a suitable  $n$  with  $C_1, C_2 \subseteq B$ . It follows that  $H \oplus C_1 \cong Hg \oplus C_2$ , and hence  $C_1 \cong C_2$ . We define  $\varphi$  to be  $g$  on  $H$ , an isomorphism to  $C_2$  on  $C_1$  and the identity on  $H_n$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $G$  extending  $g$  and satisfying  $G(\varphi-1) \subseteq B$ . By restricting to a complement of an appropriate cyclic direct summand of  $C_1 \oplus H_n$ ,

we can omit  $b_0$  from the image of  $\varphi$  with the domain still containing an  $H_m$  for some  $m \geq n$ . Hence  $g \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$ .

An easier argument shows that the  $\mathbb{D}_x$  are dense but care must be taken to omit  $b_0$  from the image of the extension. For example, given  $g: H \rightarrow G$ , we can find decompositions  $G = H \oplus C_1 \oplus H_n$  with  $x \in H \oplus C_1$ , and  $G = Hg \oplus C_2 \oplus H_n$  with  $C_2 \subseteq B$  for a suitable  $n$ . It follows that  $H \oplus C_1 \cong Hg \oplus C_2$ , and hence  $C_1 \cong C_2$ .

We define the extension  $h: H \oplus C_1 \rightarrow G$  of  $g$ . Obviously, it should coincide with  $g$  on  $H$ . On the other part  $C_1$ , let  $h$  be a modification of the inclusion of  $C_1$  into  $G = Hg \oplus C_2 \oplus H_n$  by replacing the  $C_2$ -component with an isomorphism  $C_1 \cong C_2$ . Thus,  $G = Hg \oplus C_1h \oplus H_n$ , hence  $h$  is an extension of  $g$  to an embedding onto a finite-rank pure subgroup. The definition was carefully made to keep the image of  $h - 1$  inside  $B$ .

However, there is no guarantee that  $b_0$  hasn't smuggled itself into the image. As a remedy, let's consider a variant  $h'$  of  $h$ : since  $H_n \cap B$  is reduced and unbounded, there is an embedding  $\alpha: C_1 \rightarrow H_n \cap B$ . Now  $h' := h + \alpha$  has the same properties as  $h$ : it extends  $g$ , it embeds its domain into a finite-rank pure subgroup, and the image of  $h' - 1$  is in  $B$ . Moreover,  $\text{im } h \cap \text{im } h' = Hg$ , and therefore  $b_0$  cannot be contained in the image of both  $h$  and  $h'$ , so at least one of them is an extension of  $g$  in  $\mathbb{D}_x$ .

We conclude that the poset satisfies the conditions of MA( $\sigma$ -centered). So there is a filter intersecting all the dense subsets above. The union of the monomorphisms of the filter produces a monic endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $G(f - 1) \subseteq B$  and  $b_0 \notin Gf$ . Hence  $f$  is monic but not epic, showing that  $G$  is not co-Hopfian.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, CAMPUS ESSEN, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* gabor.braun@uni-duisburg-essen.de

FACULTY FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DUISBURG-ESSEN, CAMPUS ESSEN, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* lutz.struengmann@uni-due.de

FACULTY FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM, 68163 MANNHEIM, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* l.struengmann@hs-mannheim.de